

Tree Stakeholder Committee Meeting
Additional Notes
July 7, 2009

Comments from committee as to the overall feeling of the meeting and discussions:

Pluses

- ❖ Free flow ideas
- ❖ Visual examples
- ❖ Staff input/willingness openness
- ❖ Discussions
- ❖ More committee input
- ❖ Group understand intent
- ❖ Good examples
- ❖ Going through it quickly
- ❖ lots of ideas-hard stuff once we get input

Changes

- ❖ Specific goals and purpose
- ❖ Keep it moving
- ❖ More information
- ❖ Additional information from staff-clarity assuming too much
- ❖ Question about process/Table item
- ❖ What to do when we disagree
- ❖ Staff -more examples from environmental stand point/What would a development look like start from need coverage
- ❖ Meet elsewhere (drinks)
- ❖ Canopy vs. Preservation, better than status quo
- ❖ Tree planting example
- ❖ Developer reps need to bring own examples
- ❖ Appendix E & definitions
- ❖ Email change ahead to compile
- ❖ Need input from landscape architects
- ❖ Statement about why parts were deleted
- ❖ Additional information

Staff's Goals:

- ❖ Increase the % of Tree Canopy (40% based on latest study)
- ❖ Simplify the review and inspection requirements of the Tree Ordinance
- ❖ Remove the loop holes in the current ordinance